

The Singularity Marxism

People in the West have this strange idea that Marxism is some kind of a welfare state where everyone was just sitting around on the couch in the USSR drinking vodka and being a lazy bum. This cartoonish nonsense is still used to attack anyone who understands the need for socialized programs which are based on the distribution of public wealth for public services. I notice this nonsense from the fake Libertarians especially. However, it must be understood that NeoLiberalism (which is fake Libertarianism) was simply created by the business class, banks, and corporations to attack any objections to the plundering of society by their monopolies. Paying taxes for roads makes you a commie...

The reality of the Marxist State is that it's based on the concept of "bonded labour" which means you are assigned a place to live by the Party, and you are assigned a place to work by the Party. If you didn't show up for work then you would be arrested for economic sabotage and sent to a gulag. If you messed up on the factory line or failed in general to meet the output labour quota then you would be arrested, interrogated, and then sent to a labour prison camp where you would most likely not return from. The wages of the Soviet Ruble you were paid were poverty tier, and the standard of living was third world. Everything went to the top; to the owners who were the ruling caste dynasties that ran the Soviet world. Even in Communist China today, over eighty percent of the GDP goes to .4 percent of the population who are the ruling caste families that run the Communist Party. This is the reason why the standard of living was so low in Communist societies. All the wealth goes to the one percent as they say. The one percent is the ruling caste.

If you decided to leave the region where you were assigned to live in by the Party without permission then you would be arrested, interrogated, and then executed. They didn't give out welfare in the USSR even if you were physically ill with chronic illness. They forced you to work even if they killed you in many cases.

The Soviet method of bonded labour and living assignment was simply a literal return to the feudal system of serfdom which was also based on bonded labour and living assignment. You were assigned by the Church to a serfdom and the bonded labour of such, and if you failed to perform the labour then you were executed. If you attempted to leave the serfdom that you were assigned to without the permission of the Church then you were executed as a runaway slave. The Church owned the serfdoms, and the Church ruled politically. All of the wealth went to the one percent at the top who were the ruling caste families that made up the top hierarchies of the Church.

If one observes the singularity of the actual Marxist State and Capitalism, you notice that they merge together into State Capitalism where the Capitalist Class has total domination over the entire society and all means of production, wealth both material and financial, and the rest of society are forced into a new feudal system where everything goes to the top ruling caste families who make up the Capitalist Class. The slave days of the plantation owners was the result of the Capitalist revolution. Yet it was just the same bonded labour system of Marxism and the Church.

This can only be understood by one critical thing. Capitalism, Marxism, and Christianity are the extension of the racial religion and hive mind of the alien soul consciousness of the Jews. It's a Jewocracy.

However, if one examines the revolutions against the feudal system from 1381 uprising in England; what did the Common People want? An end to the Church and its Aristocracy, the abolishment of the class system, the end of Bonded labour and assignment living, and they wanted fixed low property land fees and the right to move to where they wanted and sell their goods as they wished. They also wanted a socially egalitarian democracy and all of the wealth to be redistributed back to society from the coffers of the Church and Nobility that were plundering them. This was a Socialist, Democratic movement by today's standards, and it mirrors what the National Socialists advocated for in their time.

Reply from High Priest Hooded Cobra 666:

You say many mid range people who just believe they are well off and stuff, they are instructed very carefully by the Capitalist class that if they were to go down (the 0.4% of Chinese that get all the wealth produced by the other closely 2 billions) then these struggling Middle Class will also be destroyed. This turns the average person into a watch dog, even in China, they have an 'only virtual' existing statist middle class that keeps the things going. Sort of like those appointed on the factory to run the slave factory directly.

I sat 5 years in high academia listening even Socialists just Marxistis their way around Socialism. They on their own do not understand what Socialism is so one cannot be blamed that Socialism is plated in crap, the believers of the very ideology did not clear it up. I have not seen any original Socialist in my life that didn't have any straight leaning into Communism, Marxism and the rest of the Jewish garbage.

For whatever reason they are so confused they believe that Socialism is the ultimately expressed by a system that literally puts you in prison for not showing

one day at work. And has you working 365 days a year, with 10 hours a day. And based on proven facts not some strange superstition this is exactly what has happened.

And they are so indoctrinated to the point they believe literally in their head that Marxism is simply the solution to what they try to bring in the ideals they move around as Socialist. They imagine Marxism or Communism as some form of universalized socialism or something. I have met a share of Socialists also with their head into the church which is just plain disturbing. Voltaire and all the guys whom they praise just wanted to destroy the church.

Only a minority understands clearly what socialism is about, and with these, there is another problem one faces. The problem of RACE. It's like guys... we are in a worker union... and oh guess everyone is white there...and oh guess we are advocating for white rights...and oh we also want jews to go to burn for all eternity...and oh we disagree with the existence of Israel as a state...BUT AT LEAST WE ARE NOT NAZIS...WE ARE "OF THE LEFT".

The left now goes as far as to whine, dye their hair pink or blue, and just go beat up some innocents simply because they disagree. No protesting on any banking system, and no actual awareness of any true social problem. This is why the dialectic of the "Left" has to be left on it's own to die, the future is National Socialist. It's not leftism and all the related garbage. We are living in an era of people and movement. The left in France or England it was simply only Whites in England back then. So it was an existential granted all the measures to help would only be applied to people of common blood and common origin.

You didn't have motorboats of millions of foreign races coming into your continent. But today you do. Then if you saw one different race in a place it was a big deal in 1300.

As thus there was no need for the racial matter to be explicitly mentioned simply because foreigners were one in a ten thousand. There was no existential danger based on racial standards. "Rights for England" literally only meant Rights for The English. Yes these White guys with the White skin and Blue eyes type of guys. And Hitler foresaw where this was going with the ignorance of that fact of race and modernization so he choose to focus on this aspect of policy first, the race.

Socialism is political fossil and an incomplete ideology, and the further the racial issue goes against Whites, the more corny it is going to sound.

As such is why I personally consider leftism outdated, and from it, socialism is the least outdated but it's still a fossil. Because you cannot sit at this point and conversation on race with a leftist as they are stuck on the universalism of the root of the ideals in a time where the racial question did not even exist. And they try to transfer it to today without any racial foundation. Which to me is considered an enemy dogma plain and simple.

I personally do not value corny enforced human rights above quality of humans. Nor I value functionality higher than purpose. A movement has to have a direction, I cannot praise a movement simply because of its good ideals. The question is where it leads above all.

Like ok mate, you're walking. I cannot praise your functionality to walk. The question is where you are going with that walking. Some people just praise the walking. Oh we satisfied the demands for rights...Well right...we did it... but to what ultimate end? Some people are stuck on the meme let's end this social problem or that problem...But with what ultimate end...nobody asks the details.

And just idle satisfaction of what is "SOCIALIST" policy based on the welfare and muh equality, muh human rights, muh help those in "need" and help for the weaker, socialist standards, is just what is applied in France with the migrants, so you see one third of Paris is unreadable by Whites now, you feel like you are in Africa with French Architecture.

Even if, let's say, some of the very few socialists that exist, were actually aware of "SOCIALISM", they could hold something against this situation it would just be anti-capitalists whining such as 'MUH BANKS' and 'MUH CAPITALISM' does that. But ultimately it wouldn't penetrate into the deeper problem.

Ideologically speaking a socialism of welfare and help and compassion has reached its point there other than the above argument which is too clever for socialists to take seriously. Nothing to complain about, many basic ideals have been met. It's ok if Paris has become Nigeria. At least we satisfied hungry bellies and human rights.

But National Socialism has reached no conclusion to this place. It's exactly the opposite of what Hitler envisioned or National Socialism would have intended. The exact opposite is happening, with the only common line just some common vague policies and some loose parallelisms. Socialism has achieved to "help the minority", help with "equality" by giving them free gurbs, but in National Socialist standard all you see is a dying race dying in their own homeland.

The compassionate side of this leaves me cold and uninterested. I am not in Nigeria breeding the people out of their homeland.

I do not want to satisfy the chart of the socialist human rights, I want to see our own lands with at least a striking majority up to 95% of White people in there. The functionality of some Socialist measures is understood but one cannot convince the modern socialists on racial matters at this point. Many are unconsciously into the racial loop but they don't understand it in full either.

So people can take their socialism and just tell the crap to someone else. I cannot tolerate this anymore I will not tolerate Christianity. "Oh we stipped hunger, pls give us good goyim points mr universal chart of humin rights!". How is that in anyway better or superior to the mere emotional bullshit satisfaction of the moral christian who curses his race to do the 'morally right thing'.

You cannot walk only with your left leg, nor only with your right. It's either National Socialism or those who feel they are being humane by creating a situation like Paris because they 'relieved' suffering to satisfy some heavenly ideals of ending hunger of some, by accelerating the demographic warfare against natives, this offer is no different than the jewish offer in the outcome, but only the functionality and the delusional feeling that you are doing something humane while you're being erased out of existence, based on a different context.

Reply from the original author:

Socialism is the most ancient expression of organization on the community level even Chimpanzees practice it. The ideals of the Left are not outdated they reflect what people want that's why they have staying power today. Rights, social freedoms, infrastructure that grants access to important resources such as healthcare, education and emergency services and the like. More localized government, and in general greater self and local autonomy. Socialism is the new word for tribalism. Kai Murros has illustrated this as well and the problem between globalized one world socialism of the enemy and Organic Socialism which actually works because it's the de facto mode of natural existence forever.

You can't call yourself a National SOCALIST and promote National Socialism and claim Socialism is outdated you're either SOCIALIST or not. Which only leaves one the avenue of a hyper individualist ideology of bugman liberalism where even the family is an enemy. Everyone is Socialistic even the agreement to have a common government is Socialism.

The simple situation is the Jewish issue. The Jew has its own racial psychology and it by its nature absorbs these normal desires and then projects them through

their own racial psyche and thus transmutes them into the Jewish ideal which is total Marxism however total Marxism is a hive mind society.

This is the simple essence of totalitarianism it's not the rule of one person. It's a hive mind society in which the collective mind dominates and is steered by a small cabal of elites. This ideal is the expression of the alien Jewish soul. The Jewish Torah the essence of the Jewish soul in print is built on a totalitarian hive mind society. Where the collective hive mind is the will of "god". The Jew Stalin is documented in Russian history of our current time to have openly built the Regime of the..... BIBLE.

Reply from High Priest Hooded Cobra 666:

Chimpanzee probably acts like that when you put him on the side of Chimpanzee not an Orangutan, nor a Cappucin Monkey to a Chimpanzee and Orangutan inside the same prison, and force them to procreate and also in a fight for limited resources.

I do not disagree with the foundation of Socialism, Welfare, UBI and all the related things on the RACIAL basis and THEN EXTENDING TO OTHERS. But the function is not more important than the outcome. And the generation of a good conscience feeling that you just fed the masses. The fact you're doing socialism policy is only as important as the OTHER end which is the sustenance of the race and the common people to keep them advancing, developing and alive.

I do not and will not feel proud and satisfied if we just enforce Chimps, Orangutans, Cappucino Monkeys, all in the same prison, and we feed them just to say we did and how friendly we are to animals, while all they do is kill each other out and wipe out each other out. Yea we were good 'Socialists', we fed the chimps, but we have failed as National Socialists we didn't divide matters appropriately to cause a situation of natural equilibrium and peace.

If Socialists all just get the pill of National Socialism and how Race is a necessity for their dreamed socialist system, I have no reason to see them as an opposing element, a potentially dangerous enemy, or literally a rival right now. As the jews will try to meme Socialism without the National and the Racial in it as it appears (which you seem to take for granted as a fundamental source of wellbeing like part and parcel of socialism by default) but try explaining that to the socialist nerds and tell me how it goes.

You or Kai Murros are more clever than the average socialist I think we can agree on that. And I think you understand clearly of what I mean here about the

average socialist and their condition. Terms are defined by the majority that carries the banners not only by the intellectual minority. There are hoards of socialists who are very happy or at worst indifferent of the situation going on in Germany.

One of the ruling parties in Germany is SOCIALIST, and is the same party that existed since Hitler's time which Hitler ERADICATED, the SPD. Try explaining to these guys who open the doors to the migrants how SOCIALISM has RACE as an INTEGRAL part to SOCIALIST SOCIETY and BEHAVIOR.

November 16, 2018

Joy of Satan Forums Contributions