

Our Rituals at Work: All 4 Gun Grabbing Bills Defeated Today

((Feinstein's))) amendment failed, and we know the Jews are the total power behind all Gun Grabbing attempts in America. It's not even in question anymore that it's them. As Ted Nugent posted before the Jews flipped out on him, because the Jews are the constant attempt behind removing freedom of speech and arms in America.



The Jews who support gun grabbing in America all support the Jewish State of Israel, where the average Jew is armed to the hilt. Because they want to disarm the Goyim as a warfare tactic. When they ran the USSR, this tactic allowed them to murder 90 million Goyim, Talmudic style, a lot easier.

As the Jewish Talmud states:

It's easier to do that when the Gentiles are disarmed.....

Now note with the constant mainly Mossad false flags like Sandy Hook, to create the problem, reaction, solution of the Jews. All this has taught people is that you're safer armed because all these massacres happened in GUN FREE ZONES.....

Keep up the Reverse Torah Rituals. They are saving your freedoms.....

<https://web.archive.org/web/20170611042457/https://www.rt.com/usa/347526-senate-vote-gun-control/>

Just over a week after the massacre at a gay nightclub in Orlando, Florida, the US Senate voted against four gun control amendments, with Democrats and Republicans entrenched in their respective corners.

All four proposals were offered as amendments to a Justice Department spending bill set to hit the Senate floor on Monday.

Senator Dianne Feinstein (D-California) sponsored an amendment that would allow the Department of Justice to deny a gun sale to anyone if the agency has a “reasonable belief” that the buyer is likely to engage in terrorism.

Feinstein's amendment failed in a 47-53 vote.

Sen. John Cornyn (R-Texas) is offering a competing amendment, which would require that law enforcement be alerted when anyone on the terror watch list attempts to buy a gun from a licensed dealer. If anyone who has been investigated for terrorism within the last five years tries to purchase a firearm, the DoJ would be able to block a sale for up to 72 hours while prosecutors go to court to show probable cause to permanently block the transaction. The National Rifle Association has offered its support for this measure.

Cornyn's amendment failed in a vote of 53-47.

An amendment proposed by Sen. Chuck Grassley (R-Iowa) tackles the issue of mental health and buying guns. His measure would clarify current language regarding mental health and make it more difficult to add mentally ill people to the background check database, which would give those people suspected of having serious mental illness a process to challenge that determination. The amendment would also boost funds for the National Instant Criminal Background Check System and ensure that the correct records are uploaded into the system in a timely manner.

Grassley's amendment failed 53-47.

Finally. Sen. Chris Murphy (D-Connecticut) sponsored an amendment that would close the so-called “gun show loophole” by requiring every gun purchaser to

undergo a background check, even during private sales or at gun shows. The measure would also expand the background check database.

Murphy's amendment failed in a 44-56 vote.

Even Murphy admitted that it was highly unlikely any of the amendments would pass because they would need 60 votes to overcome a potential GOP filibuster. Instead, he focused on the public's response to his nearly 15-hour filibuster last Wednesday and Thursday.

"I admit that the background checks bill is going to be tough to get 60 votes on, but we still have hope that we can get Republicans to support the bill, stopping terrorists from getting weapons," Murphy told ABC's 'This Week'. "But in the final analysis, what may be most important is that our filibuster helped galvanize an entire country around this issue."

"It wasn't just that 40 senators came to the floor and supported my effort to get these votes but there were millions of people all across the country who rose up and who joined our effort," he said on Sunday.

Bills that would have closed the gun show loophole failed after the 2012 Sandy Hook shooting in Newtown, Connecticut and after the 2015 terrorist attack in San Bernardino, California.

The NRA is opposed to requiring private sellers to be licensed, which would require them to perform background checks on any sales – even if a person only ever sells one firearm. The guns rights group is also opposed to cracking down on internet gun sales, saying that it would be a violation of both the First and Second Amendments, according to NBC News.

"We all agree that terrorists should not be allowed to purchase or possess firearms. We should all agree that law-abiding Americans who are wrongly put on a secret government list should not be denied their constitutional right to due process. These are not mutually exclusive ideas. It is shocking that the safety of the American people is taking a backseat to political theatre," Chris Cox, the executive director of the NRA's lobbying arm, said Monday.

"We look forward to working with those interested in real solutions to keep the American people safe, including their right to defend themselves in the face of government failure," Cox added.

Cornyn's amendment had the highest chance of bipartisan support, since it was backed by both the NRA and Attorney General Loretta Lynch. She told 'Fox

News Sunday' that such an amendment would give the federal government the ability to stop a sale to somebody on the terror watch list.

Cornyn's amendment also has the implied support of presumptive Republican presidential nominee Donald Trump.

Another potential gun control option may be in the pipeline. Maine Sen. Susan Collins, a moderate Republican with a history of compromise and who is not up for reelection in 2016, is working with both her fellow party members and some Democrats to write a bill that would prevent people on the federal no-fly list from purchasing guns, Fox News reported. However, she does not have the GOP's blessing, so it's unclear if her bill would get a floor vote.

On the House side of Capitol Hill, Speaker Paul Ryan (R-Wisconsin) told NBC's 'Meet the Press' that he's in no rush to vote on gun control.

"We're going to take a deep breath and make sure that this is done correctly, so that the policy of making sure that the authorities know, and have time to respond to if a person who was on a terrorist watch list is trying to buy a gun, that they're notified," Ryan said Sunday.

<https://web.archive.org/web/20130313010359/http://www.darkmoon.me:80/2012/israeli-death-squads-did-sandy-hook-killings-intelligence-analyst>

Sourced from this article on pressTV. Edited slightly, with pictures and captions by Lasha Darkmoon.

The Sandy Hook massacre, the mindless murder of twenty small school children, is now beginning to look like a terrorist attack on America by "mad dog Israel". What evidence is there for this bizarre new "conspiracy theory"? Let's consider the facts...

Could this man be one of the killers seen running from the scene?

Today, Michael Harris, former Republican candidate for governor of Arizona and GOP campaign finance chairman, in an internationally televised news broadcast, cited "Israeli revenge" in, what he called, "the terrorist attack in Connecticut."

Harris cited Israeli "rage" against the US and against President Barack Obama. By "Israel," we mean "Netanyahu."

Knowing that America would accept the punishment meekly and keep quiet, and that it would let a “fall guy” or patsy take the blame, the mission was to teach America a lesson.

Is this Israel's doing?

Harris, citing the flood of inconsistencies in the “cover story,” pointed out the following, “The facts are now becoming obvious. This is another case where Israel has chosen violence and terrorism where their bullying in Washington has failed. Israel believes the US “threw them under the bus,” particularly after the recent Gaza war, allowing Israel to be humiliated in the United Nations. Their response was to stage a terror attack, targeting America in the most hideous and brutal way possible, in fact, an Israeli “signature attack,” one that butchers children, one reminiscent of the attacks that killed so many children in Gaza.”

Washington is terrified of Israel, their powerful lobby and its relationship with organized crime.

Now, a key former Senator, Chuck Hagel, who has helped expose this fact, is likely to be nominated as the secretary of defense, despite vocal protests from Israel.

Today, Israeli news gave further credence to Harris' analysis when they issued the following statements regarding the probable nomination of Hagel:

“Chuck Hagel's statements and actions regarding Israel have raised serious concerns for many Americans who care about Israel,” said the Republican Jewish Coalition (RJC) Executive Director, Matt Brooks. “The Jewish community and every American who supports a strong US-Israel relationship have cause for alarm if the president taps Hagel for such an important post.”

“The appointment of Chuck Hagel would be a slap in the face for every American who is concerned about the safety of Israel,” he asserted.

Citing a 2006 interview with Hagel, the news continued, “The political reality is...that the Jewish lobby intimidates a lot of people up here. I have always argued against some of the dumb things they do because I don't think it's in the interest of Israel. I just don't think it's smart for Israel.”

Hagel also said he didn't think he had ever signed one of the letters the American Israel Public Affairs Committee (AIPAC) regularly circulates to demonstrate support for Israel or tough stands against parties such as Iran.

“I didn’t sign the letter because it was a stupid letter...I’m not an Israeli senator, I’m a United States senator.”

During his interview today, Mike Harris explained his rationale for looking to Israel as responsible for Sandy Hook, saying:

This is exactly what Israel did in Norway. The political party that voted sanctions against Israel was retaliated against by a “lone gunman” who killed 77 children.

This is what Israel always does, they go after the children.

It is what they do in Gaza every day. It is what was done in Norway. It is what happened at Sandy Hook.

Nobody buys the “lone gunman” story anymore. Not with the Gabby Giffords’ shooting. Not with the Aurora “Batman” shooting. Certainly not with Breveik. And certainly not in Connecticut.

Eloquent words.

After Harris’ broadcast, key members of the military and law enforcement community contacted Veterans Today in full support of Harris’ analysis.

One three star general is quoted as saying, “Harris hit the nail right on the head and it is about time someone spoke up.”

As days have passed, key issues involving the Sandy Hook terror attack have been cited as “debunking” the “lone gunman” cover story.

According to the official story, Adam Lanza was found with his older brother’s ID, and it was not stolen. However, older brother Ryan, whom officials say is very cooperative, claims not to have even seen his brother since 2010. Where would Adam get this ID?

According to the official story, Adam Lanza was wearing a black outfit with a mask and bulletproof vest. Why would he want to hide his identity, and why would he wear a bulletproof vest if he planned to kill himself?

The medical examiner asserts that all wounds were caused by a rifle or other long weapon, and the FBI say that the school was littered with 223 (rifle) casings. However, Adam Lanza was found dead at the school with only handguns — and a rifle was found in the trunk of his car. So he could not have possibly been firing

the rifle, which never left the trunk of his car. This means he could not have committed the murders.

Who did then?

According to the official story, the killings were confined to two classrooms. Then why were so many children told to close their eyes while leaving the building?

Joanne Didonato, the principal's secretary, called in sick on Friday — something she rarely ever does. So presumably she must have been awfully ill. And yet, a little later on, she is well enough to give an interview.

Why were there such persistent reports that Mrs. Lanza was a kindergarten teacher, and that she died at the school when the new official story is that she was not connected to the school and was killed at home?

What happened to the report that Adam Lanza's girlfriend and another friend were missing in New Jersey?

What happened to the woodsman in a black jacket and camo pants who was arrested and handcuffed outside the school? He actually shouted to parents, "It wasn't me." Who was he and what was he doing there?

What happened to the dark van or SUV that the police surrounded in the parking lot or the maroon sedan with a blown-out back window they were on the lookout for?

The official story is that Nancy Lanza was a gun collector who obeyed the law. But since 20-year-olds are not permitted to buy guns or ammo or carry guns in Connecticut, why would Mrs Lanza give her autistic son access to guns and ammo?

A child asserts that he heard someone say, "Put your hands up," followed by the reply, "Don't shoot." This indicates that the police took a suspect into custody inside the school.

If that was Adam Lanza, how did he kill himself just after that?

Is it reasonable for a geeky 20-year-old to carry two pistols and hundreds of rounds of ammunition while wearing a bulletproof vest and a mask?

Why was Adam Lanza said to be a "loner" when a teenager is reported saying, "Lanza and his friends always gathered in a corner of the school"?

Why are Ryan Lanza and his roommates still in custody, and why are the police pretending that it is for their own benefit?

Is it a coincidence that Nancy Lanza's brother is Kingston Police Officer James Champion who lives next door to the former Lanza home?

These are only a small number of the irregularities in what now seems to be a cover-up rather than an investigation.

In truth, the public may well just be sick of hearing stories about "lone gunmen." Of all possible horrors, this one, even more than the Benghazi killings, is loaded with political implications. This is not just about "gun control", it is a clear attack on the security of every American family.

The simplest thing would be to sit back and accept what we are told, without examining who gains or who loses, and without asking "Why now?"

Is Harris right? Would Israel order the deaths of twenty little children to make a political point? Have they done this before?

Moments ago, Harris phoned me. I asked him if he was still willing to back up his statements.

His answer was simple: "They murder children — it's all part of business as usual. "

June 26, 2016

Joy of Satan Forums Contributions