The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion are Real

The actual origin of the Protocols is from the Kishinev pogrom that happened in April of 1903 when it was discovered that a Gentile child had been ritually murdered by the Jewish community. Remember the case in the Russian Empire of the Rabbi, Mendel Beilis, for the ritual murder a ten year old child, Andrei Youshchinsky? In which Beilis admitted it was part of a black magic ritual against the Czar in which the entire Jewish community was involved, and witnesses identified Beilis as the culprit who led the gang of Jews who kidnapped Andrei.

This was an occurrence and the type of horror which generated the natural outrage of the Gentile populations against the Jewish communities and their uprising against them. From England to Russia, this was always the number one listed reason for the pogroms and expulsion of the Jews. This occurred again with the Kishinev pogrom within the Jewish community that was struck by the uprising by the locals. The document was actually found by one of those involved and delivered to a local publisher, Krushevan, who published it.

As Dr. Radl shows:

Thus we can see that if we remove the myths and legends surrounding the Protocols, and then place them in their historical context using what we know about them, we can actually narrow down what the source for the Protocols originally was. To wit, a jewish document recovered from Kishinev by pogromists, and then given to Krushevan who then published it outside the jurisdiction of the court of Odessa which was looking for a way to prosecute him (for which the
Protocols would have been suitable ammunition). This is the reason why de Michelis rightly suspects the document to have come from pogromist circles.

Now we know quite a lot about the Kishinev pogrom and that it was close to a major centre of Zionist activity; Odessa, (95) where Vladimir Jabotinsky gives his first lecture on his extreme Zionist variant; Revisionist Zionism, on the 7th April after hearing about the pogrom. (96) We know that for example a large number of Jewish Torah scrolls were desecrated and that the pogromists took a large quantity of money, goods and objects from the Jews during the pogrom itself. (97)

Now with a direct connection to the first editor of the Protocols, a major centre of the Zionist movement in the Russian Empire (where extreme variants like Revisionist Zionism were forming) and that we know objects of importance to Jews were either damaged or taken. We can make a rather revolutionary suggestion: the source document that the Krushevan edition was based on was actually taken from the Kishinev pogrom and that it was some kind of Zionist document or local plan.

Krushevan, to protect himself from persecution and arrest for having any connection to the Pogrom in which people were arrested and put on trial, simply put in another source - that of an agent in Paris - to protect himself. However, the second and most widely published copy of the Protocols was written by the Christian Mystic, Nilus, in his book, "The Great And The Small." It was published in 1905 with references to the Torah [old testament] removed, and references to Jews being against Christ were put in along with the references to Freemasons, statements about Nietzsche and Darwin, and more was added in general.

The 1914 edition of Nilus' publishing was, again, heavily edited and altered. This is where the major increase in plagiarized material emerges. The Nilus editions are the ones that the "debunkers" like to use, and most of the confusion surrounding the Protocols arises from this. The Jewish Soviet system even went to their own extreme ends to further create anti propaganda against the Protocols in the early 1920's because this document is a major threat to them. In the nineties and early millennium, Russian academics and scholars have also published works which show the Protocols to be authentic.
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